Macroscopic Data Structure Analysis & Optimization

Chris Lattner, Prof. Vikram Adve, UIUC

Macroscopic Data Structure Optimization

Q. Can compilers optimize entire data structures?

Primary Goals:

- · Identify distinct data structure instances
- · Find important properties of those instances
- · Optimize each data structure instance based on its usage
- · Give some control over dynamic layout to the compiler
- · Develop algorithms suitable for a commercial compiler

Applications:

- Application performance (the focus of this poster)
- Safety (see SAFECode poster)
- · Program understanding
- · Static garbage collection

Automatic Pool Allocation [PLDI'05]

Allocate memory from pool instead of the heap:

- · Partition distinct data structures in memory - Better for cache, locality, allocation speed, etc
- · Give compiler information about dynamic location of memory - Needed to perform memory layout optimizations at runtime
- · Give compiler control over layout of data structure - Can segregate or collocate nodes in the RDS - Can optimize away inter-object padding in many cases (below)

Extremely fast compiler transform: 1.3s for 100K loc



Transparent Pointer Compression [MSP'05]

Problem: 64-bit pointers cost 2x as much as 32-bit ptrs

· Reduces effective cache capacity and memory bandwidth

Idea: Reduce 64-bit pointers to 32-bit pool indices

- · Use pool allocation to segregate data structures
- · Pointer dereferences become *(PoolBase+Idx) instead of *Ptr

Implementation: Interprocedural Restructuring xform



Data Structure Analysis (DSA)

Identify Recursive Data Structures & their Properties

- · Aggressive Context-Sensitive Analysis
- · Captures points-to, mod/ref, type information
- Extremely fast: analyzes 200K LOC programs in < 2s
- · Can support standard alias analysis clients & macroscopic clients

Supports the full generality of C (varargs,setjmp/longjmp,casts,...)



Pool Allocation Performance Effect

Pool Allocation & optzns improve RDS performance:

• 10-20% in many cases, ~2x in 2 cases, > 10x in two cases

Biggest source of speedup is cache and TLB effects:

· Deinterlacing disjoint data structures, reducing inter-object padding



Pointer Compression Perf. Impact

1.0 = Program compiled with PA but no PC



DSA Algorithm Highlights

Basic algorithm design:

- · Context-sensitive, unification-based, flow-insensitive algorithm
- · Provides speculative type information and field-sensitivity
- · Computes which memory is passed into/out of the analysis region

Bottom-Up phase computes Fn behavior with all callees

- · Computes "total effect" of calling the function
- Incrementally constructs program call graph
- · BU results are used by Pool Allocation & Pointer Compression

Top-Down phase adds information from callees

- · BU computes no information about callers of a function
- · TD pass is useful for alias analysis clients
- See llvm-tv demo for more examples of graphs

Pool Allocation Locality Effect

Graph Load Addresses vs Program Time: (for "chomp")

- · 3 linked lists: Pool allocation segregates them into distinct pools
- · With malloc, green and red nodes are interlaced with each other - Traversal of one brings the other into cache (green/red overlap)
- · Locality after pool allocation is much better than with malloc



access pattern with malloc

Load Latency vs Heap Size

How does ptr comp vary with heap size & architecture?

· Methodology: take a small pointer intensive program, vary input size

Pointer comp. can double performance over pool alloc

Smaller data structures → improved cache usage → lower latency



SparcV9 Performance Scaling

AMD64 Performance Scaling

access pattern with poolalloc